

AGENDA

CAREER SERVICE PANEL OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

11 March 1974

0900 hours 607 - Conference Room

- 1. Review of agenda for 11 March 1974
- 2. Review of minutes for 6 February 1974
- 3. Report from Chief, Support Staff, PMS/ORD
- 4. Report from Chairman, CSP/ORD
- 5. Competitive Evaluation GS-12's
- 6. Quality Step Increase Recommendations
- 7. CSP Semi-annual Report
- 8. New business

E2 IMPDET CL BY 464869

EYES ONLY

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 1999/09/07/12/NAFRIDE 79-00317A000200010030-5

CAREER SERVICE PANEL
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
6 February 1974

Attendees:

25X1A9a

Chairman 25X1A9a

C/PAS/ORD 25X1A9a

C/DPR/ORD

C/TCR/ORD

C/PMS/ORD

C/LSR/ORD

C/LSR/ORD

C/OT/ORD

Executive Secretary

Recording Secretary

1. The Chairman called the meeting to order at 0940 hours.

25X1A9a

to the Agenda for 6 February 1974 under new business:

Clarification of General Notice No. 66,
Annual Evaluation and Ranking of
Employees, issued by the D/ORD.

the minutes, 3 December 1973. Discussion on and clarification of these items followed. No changes were requested by

25X1A9a 4. Provided to the second of these items followed. No changes were requested by 25X1A9a

3 December 1973 as written. Seconded the motion. The Panel concurred. Motion carried.

25X1A9a

Panel. (Copy attached) The following items were also discussed: 25X1A9a

join the Naval Ordnance Systems Command. Date of resignation is not know at this time. contract was terminated on 6 February 1974.)

25X1A9a b. Special Projects Group/ORD, and now with ODEE was promoted from GS-14 to GS-15.

EYES ONLY

E2 IMPDET CL BY 464869

Approved For Release 199/09/01 CIA-RDP79-00317A000200010030-5 25X1A9a

25X1A9a

The promotion of 25X1A9a GS-5 to GS-6 and still listed as a Clerk Typist. urged to counsel

25X1A9a

to become more proficient in stenography for better 25X1A9a career development. previous supervisors: noted that they had tried to help realize

25X1A9a

the importance of improving her shorthand.

25X1A9a

6. The Chairman made the following report:

25X1A9a

There will be a rotational assignment of GS-5, Secretary Steno, TCR/ORD to Contract Staff/PMS/ORD while 25X1A9a on maternity leave starting 11 March 1974. A secretary from the Clerical Staffing pool will be 25X1A9a supplied to TCR/ORD to replace was hopeful that this replacement would be able to stated 25X1A9a report to ORD on 19 February 1974. that an overlap would not be possible.

25X1A9a

- b. , Secretary Steno, DPR/ORD had been recommended for promotion from GS-4 to GS-5 and the D/ORD had approved. The recommendation has been sent to Office of Personnel.
- DD/S&T has agreed to allocating the slots for the Midcareer Executive Development Course for the rest of calendar year 1974. ORD has been 25X1A9a allocated one nominee for the 25 August 1974 -27 September 1974 and for the 17 November 1974 -20 December 1974 session. stated this does not mean that ORD and other components of DD/S&T could not exchange their allocated dates if the need arises. 25X1A9a

The CSP members agreed that 25X1A9abe recommended as ORD's principal nominee for the Midcareer Executive Development Course for the August session; was selected as an alternate.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a instructed to prepare a brief semi-annual CSP report in draft form for review by the CSP members.

Approved For Release 1999/02/06/07/00200010030-5

25X1A9a

9. The Panel then heard from the Ad Hoc Committee on Quality Step Increases.

25X1A9a

I think the report is self-explanatory. and I looked through the Headquarters Regulations, and we talked with OSI people. It seemed to us that the best idea was to schedule Quality Step Increases on a regular basis; the frequency of quarterly reviews seemed to be a good compromise. not feel that a review done twice a year was often enough. The Committee therefore is recommending a regularly scheduled quarterly review of QSI's which should be made a CSP agenda item. The recommendations for QSI's from Division Chiefs should be accompanied by a description of the reason for the recommendation. We feel that the reason should be limited very strictly to a meritorious activity. The Hdqtrs. Regulations state a QSI should be for a "Sustained meritorious performance," and by quantifying what is sustained or not, we felt that any achievement or project accomplishment that would be large enough to warrant a QSI would have taken many months of input. There is one other case: the type of individual who does not work on specific projects, but who is part of on-going activities perhaps in the administrative area. In this case the guidelines would be "sustained exceptional performance." We felt there shouldn't be any place in the QSI system for these increases to be used as an incentive to keep an employee who has received another job offer, or to reward an employee who has "topped-out" and was not going to get an increase in grade. We feel the granting of Quality Step Increases should be confined to the real purpose - a good job. In a QSI review, we don't think that the people should be compared with each other; each case should be looked at individually. For example, in the case of some exceptional project this would not necessarily mean everybody working on the project should get a QSI; i. e., people performing in an average way on a very good project should not get a QSI. In the review, it is a matter of looking at the individual and how he has performed. A Quality Step Increase is for a special performance.

Approved For Release 1999/09/01: CIA TIPE -00317A000200010030-5

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 GA-RDP79-00317A000200010030-5

- 10. The issues to be considered in order to complete the guidelines on QSI policy and procedures were discussed by the Panel and were as follows:
 - a. The D/ORD has determined that ORD does not have an official QSI policy.
 - b. D/ORD has a view that there should be a specified number of Quality Step Increases five or six granted each year.
 - c. The mechanism to be used to put such a policy into effect.
 - d. Awarding Quality Step Increases and ORD Certificates of Commendation at the same time.
 - e. Schedule for recommending Quality Step Increases.
 - f. Wording and meaning of the QSI Policy and Procedures for ORD as submitted by the ORD Ad Hoc Committee on Quality Step Increases versus the language and spirit of the Agency regulations on QSI recommendations.

25X1A9a

- Increase recommendations should be scheduled semi-annually as a CSP agenda item rather than quarterly.

 seconded the motion. Three members voted against the motion; two members voted for the motion; one member abstained.

 Motion lost.
- for Quality Step Increases every three months (March, June, September and December), acted on, and recommendation made to the D/ORD. seconded the motion. The Panel 25X1A9a concurred. Motion carried.
- 25X1A9a that he voted against the motion in Item 12, because he felt it was superfluous since this schedule has been incorporated in the QSI policy and procedures submitted by the ORD Ad Hoc Committee on Quality Step Increases.
 - 14. moved that the CSP/ORD accept the 25X1A9Quality Step Increase Policy and Procedures as outlined by the ORD Ad Hoc Committee on Quality Step Increases with the following exceptions:

Approved For Release 1999/09/01 : CIA-RDP79-00317A000200010030-5

a. Delete "specific" and substitute "sustained" in 1.c. line 2, page 1.

b. Delete "outstanding" in 1.b. line 2 and line 4, page 1; 1.b. line 3, page 2, and substitute "high quality."

c. Insert "solely" in 1.c.(2) on page 2. 25X1A9a (solely as a reward.....)

seconded the motion. The Panel concurred. Motion carried. (Revised QSI procedure attached.)

- on the promotion recommendation for from GS-13 25X1A9a 25X1A9a to GS-14. Seconded the motion. The Panel concurred unanimously. Motion carried.
- 25X1A9a

 16. stated the item on ORD Time and Attendance recordkeeping was not an appropriate topic for the CSP, but it offered an opportunity to address all the Division Chiefs.

 25X1A9a

 responsibility for certifying T&A's are true and accurate has been placed on in Support Staff/ORD.

 25X1A9a

 25X1A9a

reporting on T&A's, and he felt should not be asked to bear the full responsibility of certifying these attendance records. Felt the certification signature responsibility for T&A's should be placed in the Divisions.

- 17. The Division Chiefs agreed to accept the redelegation of authentication authority of T&A's and so instruct their employees within the Division.
- 25X1A9a

 18. was asked to prepare a memorandum instructing each Division Chief on the certification procedure for T&A's. The Division Chief, in turn, would notify on how he plans to handle the certification within
- 25X1A9a on how he plans to handle the certification within his Division and provide with the names of the people designated certification authority in his Division. The list of names of those certifying T&A's to be true and accurate would then be sent to the D/ORD for approval.
 - 25X1A9a 19. Informed the Panel members that a new Agency regulation has been written concerning Letters of Instruction (LOI), and a document has been sent to ORD from DD/S&T concerning these LOI's.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 1999/09/01: CIA-RDP79-00317A000200010030-5

25X1A9a 19. (Cont'd)

EYES ONLY

I had a package ready to go out to the Division Chiefs telling how to prepare the Letters of Instruction. I showed this package to Dr. Stevens, and he requested that I prepare a sample LOI for each Division Chief so that they, in turn, would know what they will have to do for the people under their supervision. have not written these LOI's as yet. The due date is 30 April 1974, so this gives ORD some time to complete the task. I feel that the LOI's should be fairly easy to prepare because ORD people have worked hard on defining what our objectives are and what our goals are. In a sense, LOI's are based on historical precedent in that Mr. Colby introduced them into FE Division in 1968. Mr. Colby feels that the LOI expresses what is expected from a "contract" between the supervisor and the employee. is what the supervisor tells the employee as to the accomplishments needed for the following This document then is used in determining during the year whether the employee is doing what was discussed in the LOI's. I think it is a very good mechanism. LOI's will be written for all employees. Division Chiefs can write them for Branch Chiefs. Branch Cheifs, in turn, can write them for their people. LOI's will give you grounds for discussion and evaluation.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a to

25X1A9a asked for clarification of Item 2 a. 20. 25X1A9a and b., General Notice No. 66, dated 28 January 1974. 25X1A9a cited the case of who was on 21 November 1973 that he was 25X1A9a notified by sufficiently low in a ranking with his peers as to require stated that immediate remedial action be taken. wants a memorandum stating the reasons for his 25X1A9a 25X1A9a low ranking as designated in 2. a., General Notice No. 66. 25X1A9a I stated he didn't feel **I** should be at the bottom of the list but had done this at the direction of the CSP. 25X1A9a 25X1A9a pointed out the following to 21. This notice does not apply rectroactively

6

situation.

Approved For Release 1999/03/01: 6/A-RDP79-003174000200010030-5

- 21. (Cont'd) **EYES ONLY** 25X1A9a
- b. Identification of as one of the employees who needed to be notified that he was ranking low on the GS-14 list was made during discussion with the D/ORD.
- 22. Discussion followed on procedures to use on those ranking low at the different grade levels, but no affirmative conclusions were made.

 25X1A9a
- 23. The career development of was discussed.

25X1A9a

24. As a result of the request by the D/ORD for the CSP to review the promotion recommendation for CDAM/ORD, from GS-14 to GS-15, which had been postponed from 12 October 1973, the following motion was made:

25X1A9a

25. stated that the promotion recommendation could be reviewed at another time designated by the D/ORD.

26. Dr. Stevens joined the meeting for a few minutes requesting clarification on a memorandum received by him from DD/S&T Personnel on Placement of Senior Clericals.

The problem seemed to be an excess of secretary stenos at the GS-07 level and above in DD/S&T and the difficulty in reassigning these secretaries.

25X1A9a

to find out more about this problem.

27. No definite date for the next CSP meeting was scheduled.

25X1A9a

28. notified the Panel members that the Federal Employees Almanac, 1974 was available in the Support Staff/ORD office.

7

EAES ONTA

Approved For Release 1999/08/04 RDP79-00317A000200010030-5

Meeting adjourned at 12:00 noon. 29.

25X1A9a



Executive Secretary, CSP Office of Research and Development

Attachments:

Report from C/SS/PMS/ORD QSI Policy and Procedures

APPROVED:

25X1A9a

Chairman, Career Service Panel/ORD

6 Mar 74

14 Feb 74 DRAFT COMPLETED:

FINAL COMPLETED

1 March 74 AFTER REVIEW :